## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

Fin I Law Con.

2008 MAY 27 PM 3: 48

TO: N	
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	16/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv0325 LAB (POR) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner	DEPUTY
CASE TITLE: Burton v. Director	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to Reserve an Objection	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule Discrepancy	
X 5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already iss	sued.
7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not	timely
X 7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separa	ate document
7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
7.1 Missing table of contents	
☐ 15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself	
30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
Supplemental documents require court order .	
Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
OTHER:	
Date forwarded:5	5/19/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:  ►4	
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.  The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the	•
copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1	
CHAMBERS OF: POR	
Dated: <u>5/27/08</u> cc: All Parties	